1	DAVID M. REEDER, ESQ. (California State Bar No. 133150) REEDER LAW CORPORATION		
2	1801 CENTURY PARK EAST, 16 th Floor		
3	LOS ANGELES, CA 90067 PHONE: (310) 774-4060		
4	FAX: (310) 295-2290		
5	EMAIL: david@reederlaw.com		
6	Attorneys for the City of American Canyon		
7	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
10	In re:	Case No.: 19-30088	
11	PG&E CORPORATION AND PACIFIC GAS	[Chapter 11]	
12	AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,) Jointly Administered	
13	Debtors.		
14		Date: May 27, 2020 Time: 10:00 a.m.	
15		Courtroom: 17 Place: 430 Golden Gate Avenue, 16 th Floor	
16		San Francisco, California	
17		Judge: Dennis Montali	
18			
19	OBJECTION AND RESERVATION OF RIGHTS OF THE CITY OF AMERICAN		
20	CANYAN TO NOTICE OF (I) PROPOSED ASSUMPTION OF EXECUTORY CONTRACTS AND UNEXPIRED LEASES PURSUANT TO		
21	THE DEBTORS' AND SHAREHOLDER PROPONENTS' JOINT CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF REORGANIZATION AND (II) PROPOSED CURE AMOUNTS		
22	PLAN OF REORGANIZATION AND	(II) PROPOSED CURE AMOUNTS	
23	The City of American Canyon (the "City") hereby asserts its objection and reservation		
24	of rights (the "Objection") to the Debtors' Notice of (I) Proposed Assumption of Executory		
25	Contracts and Unexpired Leases Pursuant to the Debtors' and Shareholder Proponents'		
26	Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization and (II) Proposed Cure Amounts (the "Assumption		
27	Notice") and set forth the following:		
28			

PROCEDURAL FACTS

- 1. On January 29, 2019 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors commenced their cases under Chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") that are now pending with this Court. The Debtors continue to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors-in-possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107(a) and 1108.
 - 2. The Debtors' chapter 11 bankruptcy cases are being jointly administered.
- 3. On March 16, 2020, the Debtors' filed the *Debtors' and Shareholder*Proponents' Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization dated March 16, 2020 [Docket No. 6320]

 (the "Plan").

THE ASSUMPTION NOTICE

- 4. On May 1, 2020, as part of their Plan Supplement, the Debtors filed their (i) Schedule of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases To Be Assumed Pursuant to the Plan and Proposed Cure Amounts (the "Assumption Schedule").
- 5. According to a certificate of service filed May 6, 2020 [Docket No. 7085], on May 1, 2020, the Debtors' claims processing agent, Prime Clerk, purportedly served the City with the Assumption Notice together with an excerpt from the Assumption Schedule listing the City's contracts to be assumed by the Debtors. The Assumption Notice provides a deadline of May 15, 2020 for objecting to the proposed cure amounts listed in the Assumption Schedule.
 - 6. The Assumption Schedule lists three contracts with the City (the "Contracts").
- 7. Regarding each of the City's Contracts in the Assumption Schedule, the Debtors list no cure amount, indication that the Debtor's plan to pay no arrearage amount for the privilege of assuming the Contracts. .

THE CITY'S CONTRACTS WITH THE DEBTORS

8. The Debtors have listed has three contracts referencing the City (the "Contracts") which were listed for assumption by the Debtors as follows:

- a. City of American Canyon. Electric Franchise Ordinance No. 92-8; (the "Electric Franchise")
- b. City of American Canyon. Gas Franchise Ordinance No. 92-7 (the "Gas Franchise")
 - c. City of American Canyon LaVigne subdivision General off-bill and on-bill financing agreement

Due to the Covid19 virus, and the lack of staffing which has been in effect for the City during the entire period since the Debtor's filing and purported service of of its notice and the proposed \$0 cure amounts, it is unclear the exact amount owned by the Debtors for Prepetition and Post-petition arrearages under the Contracts.

- 9. On October 21, 2019 the City filed proofs of claim regarding the Electric Franchise and the Gas Franchise (the "Proofs of Claim"). True copies of the Proofs of Claim are attached here to as Exhibits "1" and "2".
- 10. The City does not believe that the amounts owed on the Contracts are \$0, but, for the reasons stated above, it is still investigating the amounts due under the Contracts.
- 11. The City is continuing to investigate the Contracts listed in the Assumption Schedule and reserves its rights to assert additional cure amounts once it is able to reconcile with the Debtors the correct cure amounts.

ASSUMPTION OF EXECUTORY CONTRACTS

12. Section 365(b)(1)(A) and (B) of the Bankruptcy Code provide, in pertinent part, as follows:

"If there has been a default in an executory contract or unexpired lease of the debtor, the trustee may not assume such contract or lease unless, at the time of assumption of such contract or lease, the trustee—

¹ The City requests that the Court take judicial notice of Proofs of Claim pursuant to the provisions of Fed. R. Evid. 201.

- (A) cures, or provides adequate assurance that the trustee will promptly cure, such default. . .
- (B) compensates, or provides adequate assurance that the trustee will promptly compensate, a party other than the debtor to such contract or lease, for any actual pecuniary loss to such party resulting from such default" It is unclear from the Debtors' listing of contracts in the Assumption Schedule which contracts they are attempting to assume.
- 13. Accordingly the Debtors should be required to adjust the cure amounts to accurately reflect the amounts due, both pre-petition and post-petition. Further, the City should be given sufficient time to investigate the same, determine the amounts owing thereunder, and file any further objections it may then have to the Assumption Schedule and Assumption Notice.

THE DEBTORS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO UNILATERALLY MODIFY THE CONTRACTS

- Attempts by debtors to institute unilateral modifications of contracts through the assumption process of been soundly rejected by the courts. *See, In re Frontier Properties, Inc.*, 979 F.2d 1359, 1367 (9th Cir. 1992). A debtor cannot assume provisions of a contract which it deems beneficial, and alter, modify, or altogether avoid portions that it does not like. *N.L.R.B. v Bildisco & Bildisco*, 465 U.S. 513, 531-532 (1984) ("Should the debtor-in-possession elect to assume the executory contract, however, it assumes the contract *cum onere*").
- 15. Section 365(b)(1) is a shield for non-debtors, not a sword for debtors. *In re National Gypsum Co.* 208 F.3d 498, 509 (5th Cir. 2000). In *National Gypsum Co.* the Court stated "[Section 365(a)] provides a guarantee to the non-debtor party who may be forced to

continue a relationship it would rather terminate, that as a condition to the forced continuation of the contractual relationship, any losses or defaults existing at the time will be satisfied either through timely cure or through reasonable assurance of future payment" *Id*.

- 16. Here, the culprits are the debtor's attempt to use the extremely aggressive releases in its Amended Plan of Reorganization (the "Plan") to eliminate all liability under assumed executory contracts. *See*, Plan at Sec. 8.1 and Sec. 10.9(b). Further, the Debtors attempt to use the Cure Notice as a tool for modification of the Contracts, since the Cure Notice seeks to "deem" the failure to object to be consent to material modifications of the Contracts. Statutory rights, such as the counter party's right to have the entire contract assumed if assumption is the order of the day, are not so easily tossed off.
- 17. The use of these provisions to eliminate all liability under the assumed contracts runs afoul of the well-trod judicial path in chapter 11 cases rejecting the modification of assumed contracts, regardless of the means.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS AND PROPOSED RELIEF

- 18. The City reserves the right to take a reasonable time (as compared the elapsed time from the purported service of the Assumption Notice in New York on May 1, 2020 to the date of the objection deadline of May 15, 2020) to determine the cure amounts on the Contracts and to calculate such amount to provide for a per diem or other method of accurately determining the cure amounts up to the effective date of the assumption of the Contracts.
- 19. Based on the foregoing, the order regarding the assumption of the Contracts should grant no more relief then is allowable under the Code, assumption of the Contracts in their entirety, without material modification, and with no discharge of any provision of the

Contracts, *after* the cure of all arrearages, including those arrearages accruing between the entry of an assumption order and the effective data of the assumption.

- 20. The City hereby reserves all rights to object to the Assumption Schedule and the cure amounts listed therein once the it has sufficiently investigated the cure amounts due, hopefully in cooperation with the Debtors.
- 21. The City reserves all its rights to the allowance and immediate payment of all post-petition charges under the Contracts pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 503(b).

Dated: May 15, 2020 REEDER LAW CORRPORATION

/s/ DAVID M. REEDER
DAVID M. REEDER
Attorneys for the City of American Canyon,
Creditor

In re		CHAPTER: 11		
F	G&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company,	CASE NO.: 19-30088		
	Debtors.			
1	PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOC	UMENT		
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ I am over t	I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:			
3	Reeder Law Corporation 1801 Century Park East, 16 th Floor			
4	Los Angeles, CA 90067			
5 A true and OF RIGH	A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (specify): OBJECTION AND RESERVATION OF RIGHTS OF THE CITY OF AMERICAN CANYAN TO NOTICE OF (I) PROPOSED ASSUMPTION OF EXECUTORY CONTRACTS AND UNEXPIRED LEASES PURSUANT TO THE DEBTORS' AND SHAREHOLDER PROPONENTS' JOINT CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF			
_ REORGA	REORGANIZATION AND (II) PROPOSED CURE AMOUNTS will be served or was served in the manne stated below:			
8 1. <u>TO B</u>	E SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELEC	TRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to		
controlling	controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On May 15, 2020 , I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary			
proceeding	proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:			
1				
$_{2}\parallel$	Service information continued on attached page			
3 2. SERVE	2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:			
case or adv	On, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that			
15 mailing to	mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.			
6	Service information continued on attached page			
	3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR			
8 May 15, 20	tate method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F <u>120</u> , I served the following persons and/or entities by perso	onal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for		
	consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery			
	completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.			
21	Service information of	continued on attached page		
22 I declare u	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and			
23 correct. May 15,	2020 David M. Reeder /s/ 1	DAVID M. REEDER		
24 Date	Printed Name Sign	nature		
25				
26				
27				
28	8			

In re

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company,

CHAPTER: 11

CASE NO.: 19-30088

Debtors.

1

SERVICE LIST

2

Service by ECF

3

All persons who have requested that they be added to the ECF service list in the Debtor's cases

4

5

Served by Email

- 6 Stephen Karotkin, Esq.
- Jessica Liou, Esq. 7
 - Matthew Goren, Esq.
- Tom Schinckel, Esq. 8
 - Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
- 9 767 Fifth Avenue
- New York, New York 10153 10
 - Stephen.karotkin@weil.com
- Jessica.liou@weil.com 11
- Matthew.goren@weil.com
- 12 Tom.shinckel@weil.com
- Attorneys for Debtors 13
- 14 Tobias S. Keller, Esq.
- Jane Kim, Esq.
- 15 Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP
- 650 California Street, Suite 1900 16
 - San Francisco, California 94108
- 17 tkeller@kbkllp.com
- jkim@kbkllc.com 18
- Attorneys for Debtors

19

- Bruce S. Bennett, Esq.
- 20 Joshua M. Mester, Esq.
- James O. Johnston 21
 - Jones Day, Esq.
- 555 South Flower Street 22
 - Fiftieth Floor
- 23 Los Angeles, California 90071-2300

Attorneys for Shareholder Proponents

Doc# 7315

- bbennett@jonesday.com 24
- jmester@jonesday.com
- jjohnston@jonesday.com 25

Case: 19-30088

26

27

28

Filed: 05/15/20